G. P. 71 & 72—600,000—21-11-32.

1932

Department of L. R & Sett

OFFICE Bd of Rev

STATION Madras

misc No. 3741

Dated 15-10-32

To whom sent	Date	Initial
	Given for copying	
	Copied	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
	[illegible]	

SUBJECT

Village establishment – (Bellary) – Harpanahalli Taluk – Village – Village – Valagani – Employment Sanctioned

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records

O.F. 82

In reply, please quote number, date and short

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Received *Registered*

From (Name) F. W. Stewart Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Bellary

Post Box ..... , (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Ref C *No.* 2086/32, *dated* 1st August 19 32

Sir,

* Village- Establishment-Harapanahalli Taluk-Hikkingeri (I) Village-Talari-entertainment-sanction requested.

Enclosures ——— Proposal Statement

Spare Copies ———

The Karnam of Hikkingeri an inam village in Harapanahalli Taluk presented a petition during the last Jamabandi Settlement requesting that sanction might be obtained for the entertainment of a talayari for the village. The reasons adduced by him are that he has been undergoing great inconvenience for crop inspection, collection of revenue, despatch of tappal to the Revenue Inspectorand the Tahsildar and to get his pay every month from the Taluk Office.

2. Particulars of the ayacut, population etc., of the village are noted below.

	Ac. Cen:
Extent of village.	954. 16
Government ayacut	19. 42
extent irrigated under the tank	59. 04
Population....	308

Quit rent payable to Government Rs 177-3-0

3. The village establishment consists of only a karnam on Rs 7/- The village has a hamlet, Korachanahatti at a distance of one mile with a population of 130; of this, 25 persons are included in the gang. Two other inam villages in the tank taluk similarly circumstanced have each a talayari. Both the

Both the Tahsildar and the Revenue Divisional Officer recommended the proposal.

4. In the circumstances, I request that the Board may be pleased to sanction a talayari for the village.

Pf. 3-12g. 5. Proposition statements are enclosed.

P. V. Subba Rao
3.8.32
For Collector.

K.H. 2/8

6
3.8.32

Statement showing the proposals of the revision of the proprietar

Karamoja parish no	Survey no	Name of Village.	Survey proposals.	No. of Households at the Survey.	Are Survey Details Correct.	Are Karamoja Land Principles Applicable in this Village	Acreage	Occupied area.	Land Revenue Demand.	Population in accordance to Land Revenue.	Existing			
											Village Headmen		Village Headmen	
											Number	Rate of pay	Number	Rate of pay
3	26	HiK Kwigeri		1		1	Acres 954 - 16 cents	954 - 16	Quit rent of Rs 177-3-0	328	1	7	1	-

illage establishment in Kandukur Taluk Bellary District.

Establishment				proposed establishment											
Taluq				Village Accts		Village Head men		Talaiyari							
Rate of pay.				Number	Rate of pay	Number	Rate of pay	Number	Rate of pay.						

Entries in Cols headed Establishment to be taken from the sanctioned scale.

P V Subba Rau
Treasury Officer
28/7/32

28/7/32

Statement shewing the proposals for the revision of the Bellary District.

Karnam's Group No.	Map No	Name of Village.	Munsiff's Group	Name of Karnam's Circle	Distance between the Municipality & the Karnam's Circle		Ryotwari	Acres	Land Revenue Demand	Existing								
										Ryotwari Holdings & Cowle				Village Accountant		Village Headman		
					In Miles	In Furlongs								No	Rate of Pay	No	Rate of Pay	
3	26	Hikkina-geri-	-	1	-	1	Acres 954-16 cents	954-16.	Fuit Rent of Rs 177-3-0	308.				1	7	-	-	-

Statement showing Village establishment in Mangalampadu Jaghir

Establishment.				Proposed Establishment							
				Village accountant		Village Headman			Village Talayari		
No.	Designation	Rate of pay		number	Rate of pay	number	Rate of pay		number	Rate of pay	
				1	7				1	6	

Entries in columns headed 'Existing establishment' have been verified with the sanctioned roll.

P. V. Subba Rao 3.8.32
Treasury Officer.

6
5882

P. V. Subba Rao 3.8.32
For Collector

6
5882

Statement of proposition for revision of proprietary village establishment in Harapanahalli Taluk Bellary district.

Number	Serial	Government order Sanctioning the present Establishment	Office & which the Inspection refers.	Outline of Charge												Increase per month	Decrease per month	
				Present Scale					Proposed Scale.									
						Pay						Pay						
				Number	Designation.	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	average	Number	Designation.		Minimum	Increment	Maximum	average			
	30.7.26.			1	Village Accountants	7		7	7	1	Village Accountants		7	-	7	7	-	.	for the proposed village
				5		35		35	35	5			35	-	35	35	...	...	for other villages of Taluk.
				61		427		427	427	61			427	-	427	427	...	...	for other 9 Taluks of the district
															-	-	-		for the proposed village
				22	Village Headmen	15-4		15-4	15-4	22	Village Headmen		15-4		15-4	15-4	...	...	for other villages of Taluk
																			for other 9 Taluks of the district.

| | | |

Statement of proposition for revision of Proprietary Village establishment in Harapanahalli Taluk, Bellary Dt.

Government order sanctioning present establishment		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of charge							Nature of charge						Increase in the monthly	Decrease in the monthly	Remarks
			Present scale.							Proposed scale.								
					Pay.							Pay						
Number	Date		Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average	Number	Designation		Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average etc.			
G.O. No. Revs 1251 Revenue	30.7.26	Talagavis	1	Village Accountants	7	-	7	7	1	Village Accountants		7	-	7	7	-	-	for the proposed village
			5		35	-	35	35	5			35	-	35	35	-	-	for other villages of the Tk.
			61		427	-	427	427	61			427		427	427	-	-	for other 9 Tks of the Dt.
			-	Village Headmen	-	-	-	-	1	Village Headmen		-	-	-	-	-	-	for the proposed village
			-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	for the other villages of the Tk.
			22		154	-	154	154	22			154	-	154	154	-	-	for the other 9 Tks of the Dt.
		Talagavis.	-		-	-		-	1	Talagavis		6	-	6	6	6		for the proposed village
			5		30	-	30	30	5			30		30	30			for the other villages of the Tk.

A.S(L.R)

Village establishment — (Bellari
- Harapanahalli Taluk-
Hirehugari village — relaxation
Employment

C 5450/32

Ref:- C 5450-32-1 [illegible]

Dated: 8-9-32

The Collector is requested
to report the distance of the
village from the Taluk office
and to consider the feasibility
of changing village with
an adjacent Nyayavani
village.

2) The number of days
the B.A to report for sanctioning
the proposed establishment of
the village should also
be intimated.

Collector of Bellary

Received
9 AUG 1932

R. 8.9.32

17



F.W.Stewart Esq.,C.I.E.,I.C.S.,

Collector of Bellary

Bellary

To the Secretary to the Commissioners,

Board of Revenue,Land Revenue & Settlement,

Madras

Rei.C. 2086/32 A.1. Dated 6.10.32

Sir,

Village establishment - Harpanahalli taluk - Hikkingiri village -talayari - entertainment -report sent -

Ref.Board's Ref.C.5450/32.1. dated 8.8.32.

Shrotriem Hikkingeri is at a distance of 7 miles from the Harpanahalli taluk office. It is not possible to club the village with any adjacent ryotwari village as the shrotirem is an important village containing a tank, wet lands and a hamlet with a population of 130 of which 20 are included in the census. The population of the village has increased from 101 to 208. I therefore request that a talayari may be sanctioned.

2. The present establishment for the village was sanctioned in C.O.No.220 Revenue dated 17.3.1906 communicated with B.I Press O.M. dated 21.4.1906.

For Collector

K.V. 7.10

R. F. XXII-A-19—30,0[illegible]—[illegible]

Subject.

FOP MEMBER.
A.S(L.R)

Village establishment – (Bellary)
Harpanahalli Taluk – Hikkungiri
village – Talajeri – Employment –
Sanctioned

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ ,dated _____ 193

" " _____ , " _____ 193

Pf.1-2cg C52, 50/32 Q
P.15cg Sdo Kg/cs.50-32-1888-3
P.17 C7020/32

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____ From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, _____, " _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " " _____, " _____ 193

RESOLUTION (mis) No. 3041 dated 15-10-1932

The Board sanctions the employment of a Talajeri ~~to Sitropes maistry~~ for the Hiriyur village Hikkungiri Harpanahalli Taluk, Bellary district, with effect from 1-10-1932 (FN) Tiptur

To the Collector of Bellary

13.10.31
14.10.32

[illegible]

Note file

M/S A.S (D.R.)

Village establishment – (Bellary) – Harpanahalli taluk – Hikkenigiri village – Talayari employment

Rf. 1-2 c/f C 52450 of 32
P. 5 c/f Dis Ref C 5253-324 dt 8-8-32
P. 17 c/f. C 70220 of 32

To A.S

Please see the letters of the Collector of Bellary at Rf. 1-2 c/f and 17 c/f regarding sanction for the employment of a Talayari for the inam village of Hikkenigiri, Harpanahalli taluk.

2) The Collector states that the Karnam who is the sole officer of the
P. 1 c/f village finds it difficult to carry out the work in the absence of a Talayari,
P. 17 c/f. that the taluk office is 7 miles from the village, and that there is a criminal gang of 25 persons in its hamlets which is a menace to
P. 1 c/f. life & adds that 2 other inam villages similarly circumstanced have each a Talayari

3) As the present population of the village exceeds 500, one Talayari is permissible under S.R. ~~24~~ Press dt 20.4.27. In extra case of the village ~~as his observations~~ with cases to be 69 ~~[illegible]~~ years, as a Talayari can be

(B)

Karnam's duties
Talayari's duties
Index

entertained in BSO No. 1 in view of the cut ordered in G.O. 6934 Revenue dt. 30.4.1932.

(12)

In orders to retain a peragan in BSO No. 1 permanently may be sanctioned for a year from 1st April 1933 so that the Collector may be asked to renew his proposals after the financial situation improves.

SECY. 13 OCT 1932

The talayari may be lowered

11.10.32 / 13.10.32

12.10.32

To A.S

Draft submitted

13.10.32

14.10.32

The rules as they stand allow the talayaris a pay of Rs. 6/- Vide rule 2 of the rules framed under section 32 of Act IV of 1894. The draft submitted proposes to fix the pay at Rs 5-12-0. This does not seem to be correct. The pay must be fixed at Rs 6/- and the cut sanctioned in the G.O. dt (12) must be applied. But it is for consideration whether the cut can be allowed before the rule

Why is this sent to Salem at this stage

A.S (L.A.) Through A

14.10.32

Amount capd 3 years effects of cut ordered in G.O. dt (12)

14.10.32

14.10.32

p. 892 of BSO Vol I